日本赤十字九州国際看護大学/Japanese Red

Cross Kyushu International College of

Nursing

Reliability and Validity of the Nursing Care Problems Coping Scale for Male Caregivers for People with Dementia Living at Home

メタデータ	言語: Japanese
	出版者:
	公開日: 2021-02-18
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En): Care problems, Coping, Dementia,
	Home care, Male caregivers
	作成者: NISHIO, Midori, ONO, Mitsu, KIMURA, Hiromi,
	OGOMORI, Koji, OMA, Shinji, URASHIMA, Hajime,
	HIRAKAWA, Kiyoto, NAKANO, Masahiro
	メールアドレス:
	所属:
URL	https://jrckicn.repo.nii.ac.jp/records/746



Research Article Open Access

Reliability and Validity of the Nursing Care Problems Coping Scale for Male Caregivers for People with Dementia Living at Home

Midori Nishio^{1*}, Mitsu Ono², Hiromi Kimura³, Koji Ogomori⁴, Shinji Oma⁵, Hajime Urashima⁶, Kiyoto Hirakawa⁷ and Masahiro Nakano⁸

- ¹Department of Health Sciences, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan
- ²Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan
- ³Community and International Health Nursing, Saga University, Saga, Japan
- ⁴Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Fukuoka University, Fukuoka, Japan
- ⁵Department of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Fukuoka University, Fukuoka, Japan
- ⁶ Tarou Clinic, Fukuoka, Japan
- ⁷Mito Mental Clinic, Fukuoka, Japan
- ⁸Department of Nursing Faculty Health Sciences, Junshin Gakuen University, Fukuoka, Japan

Abstract

Background: The number of people with dementia and male caregivers has increased in Japan. We developed a coping scale about nursing care problems encountered by male caregivers of people with dementia living at home. This study tests its validity and reliability.

Methods: A self-administered written questionnaire was completed by male caregivers recruited from across Japan. Reliability of factors was tested using Cronbach's alpha. Validity was assessed using concurrent validity and criterion-related validity (with three self-administered scales, the Japanese version of the Zarit Caregiver Burden Scale, the Depressive Symptoms and Self-Esteem Emotional Scale, and the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale). Values were compared between groups adopting aggressive or passive methods of coping, using principal component analysis (the major factor method and Promax rotation).

Results: The statistical analysis produced a scale of 15 items and five factors. The cumulative contribution ratio was 57.18%. The five factors were labeled "Solve the problem type", "Avoidance and emotional type", "Transformation cognitive type", "Careful supervision and waiting type", and "Assistance request type". The reliability coefficient for the coping scale, measured using Cronbach's alpha, was 0.76, and 0.65 or more for each individual factor. There were significant correlations (P < 0.04) between the new coping scale and total scores on the Japanese version of the Zarit Caregiver Burden Scale, Depressive Symptoms and Self-Esteem Emotional Scale, and Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale. The comparison between the two groups (aggressive and passive coping methods) showed that they had significantly different levels of depressive symptoms and self-esteem.

Conclusion: The Nursing Care Problems Coping Scale for Male Caregivers for People with Dementia Living at Home was found be reliable and valid for measuring whether male caregivers are able to cope with the demands of a person with dementia living at home.

Introduction

In 2012, the Japanese population of older adults with dementia requiring nursing care was 4.62 million people [1]. With a rapidly aging population and greater life expectancy [2], it is estimated that by 2025, 25% of the population over the age of 65 will have dementia [3]. The Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare in Japan [4] has stated that the aim of society should be that someone with dementia should be able to continue to live in a good-quality environment to which are they accustomed, and where they are respected.

In 2000, public nursing care insurance programs were implemented in Japan. There are, however, widely varying needs and families can provide different levels of care, so the care services provided were insufficient to meet needs [5,6]. Extra care is required for people with dementia because of the associated behavioral and psychological symptoms, which places a burden on caregivers and may damage their psychological health [7].

Nuclear families accounted for just 6% of the total number of households in 2012, because of a change in family structure and an increase in family size [8]. At the same time, views on the role of women in society have changed. Women are no longer seen as the

Publication History:

Received: March 25, 2015 Accepted: May 22, 2015 Published: May 24, 2015

Keywords:

Care problems, Coping, Dementia, Home care, Male caregivers

sole providers of long-term care, and many older adults live in smaller two-person households [9]. The number of male caregivers of people living at home with dementia has therefore quadrupled from 8.2% in 1981 to 32.2% in 2010. Three-quarters of male caregivers of people with dementia are husbands, and 25% are sons [4,10].

Male caregivers have been reported to have health problems and social issues [9]. They often suffer from depression [11], stress [12], and dissatisfaction [13], and their needs are not represented [9,14-15]. Male caregivers tend not to seek counseling or support from friends and other people [16] and can easily become isolated [17]. Their caring duties often take up so much time that they cannot work or

**Corresponding Author: Midori Nishio, Kyushu University, 3-1-1 Maidashi, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 812-8582, Japan, Tel: +81-90-5922-6858; Fax +81-92-771-6885; E-mail: 3MD12505K@s.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Citation: Nishio M, Ono M, Kimura H, Ogomori K, Oma S, et al. (2015) Reliability and Validity of the Nursing Care Problems Coping Scale for Male Caregivers for People with Dementia Living at Home. Int J Nurs Clin Pract 2: 130. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.15344/2394-4978/2015/130

Copyright: © 2015 Nishio et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Page 2 of 4

pursue personal interests [18]. These problems can also cause others, reducing quality of life (QOL) and affecting psychological well-being [19].

In this study, we focus on the coping problems experienced by male caregivers of people with dementia living at home. The Care Problems Coping Scale has already been used for family caregivers [18]. However, much of the development of this scale has focused on female caregivers. To assess the status of male caregivers, who often do not seek help even when they have a problem, it is important to develop a coping scale that assesses care problems based on the characteristics of men who care for people with dementia at home. Where care is required on a daily basis, family support is essential for someone with dementia to continue living at home. Nurses can help to provide the necessary support for caregivers, and support them in dealing with long-term care problems.

Materials and Methods

Study subjects

The subjects were 759 male caregivers recruited from four places:

- (1) Caregivers of outpatients with dementia at Fukuoka University Hospital Department of Psychiatry Medicine and Department of Neurology;
- (2) Caregivers of inpatients at the Special Hospital for Dementia in Fukuoka, Japan;
- (3) Members of the Male Caregivers Association in Japan; and
- (4) Members of the Dementia Family Association in Japan.

The subjects completed a self-administered questionnaire at some point between September 2013 and January 2014.

Procedure

Previous studies

Development of the 21-item Care Problems Coping Scale was based on previous studies [12,14,15,20-24]. The results of previous studies were checked against the Sakata Coping Scale, and the results suggested that several statements should not be used. We added two new ones: "I think that needing nursing care is seen as shameful" and "I think that I should be able to manage better than this". A Care Problems Coping Scale was developed with 21 items in total, and three choices for each response. Cronbach's alpha coefficient was used to assess reliability. Validity was examined by criterion-related validity [25]. A self-administered, written questionnaire was sent to male caregivers for people with dementia living at home from across Japan (in total 25 prefectures).

Item analysis

Principal component analysis: items were selected by exploratory factor analysis using Promax rotation.

Reliability

Reliability was assessed by conducting principal component analysis using Promax rotation. The fixed value factor was 1 or more and factor loadings of items were confirmed to be 0.45 or more. The Cronbach's alpha coefficient was determined for the total and for each factor

Content validity

Concurrent validity

The coefficient of each factor score was examined for significance.

Criterion-related validity

Criterion-related validity was determined using three self-administered scales: the Japanese version of the Zarit Caregiver Burden Scale (J-ZBI) [26], the Depressive Symptoms and Self-Esteem emotional Scale (SDS) [27], and Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale-Japanese version (RSES-J) [28,29]. These scales relate to Pearlin's stress process model of family caregivers for people with dementia [30]. The Pearlin model postulates that coping with the burden of a care situation is perceived subjectively. Self-esteem and self-control are lowered if there is a conflict in the performance of care. If caregivers' burden is decreased, they may experience physical and psychological changes affecting any symptoms of depression [18].

The J-ZBI scale consists of 22 items. It is a care burden scale that was translated into Japanese by Arai et al. [28]. Its reliability and validity have been verified and it has been used in many previous studies in Japan. Its main focus is the burden arising from care, the burden caused by having to start caring, and the overall care burden. There are five response choices: "Never", "Rarely", "Sometimes", "Quite often", and "Nearly always". The maximum score is 84 points.

The SDS scale consists of 20 items. It is also used to assess symptoms of depression and correlates withHamilton's Rating Scale for Depression, often called HAM-D). There are four answer options: "Infrequently", "Sometimes", "Often", "Almost always". The maximum score is 80 points. Scores in the 20–40 range indicate no or slight depression, the 40–49 range indicates mild depression, and scores over 50 indicate moderate depression.

The RSES-J scale consists of 10 items. It has been used most often in foreign countries. The reliability and validity of the Japanese version were established in 2007. It has four answer options: "Strongly agree", "Agree", "Disagree", "Strongly disagree". Its maximum score is 40 points.

External criterion by comparison between groups

The participants were divided into three groups based on test scores, and depending on whether their preferred coping methods were considered to be passive, aggressive or other. Comparisons between the groups used the Mann–Whitney U-test. All statistical analyses were performed using the Japanese version of SPSS 22.0 for Windows. The level of statistical significance was set at 0.05 (two-tailed).

Demographic information

Demographic information requested about the caregiver included age, presence or absence of a housemate, household composition, relationship with the care recipient, employment situation, financial situation, subjective judgment of health state, and amount of nursing care provided by time.

The questionnaire also included information about the care recipient, including age, diagnosis of dementia, certification of long-term care need, and degree of autonomy criteria [29].

Ethical approval

We obtained ethical approval for this study from the Kyushu University Hospital Ethics Committee (Approval code: 13-7-07) and the study conforms to the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki in 1995 (as revised in Tokyo 2004). Consent was obtained from the hospitals, the care facility, the Male Caregivers Association and the Dementia Family Association. The purpose of the study was explained orally

and in writing to the relevant parties. Subjects were informed that all information would be treated confidentially. Subjects who returned their completed questionnaires were considered to have consented to inclusion.

Results

Demographic data

Subjects

The mean (± standard deviation) subject age was 69.9 (± 11.1) years (see Table 1). Of the subjects, 89 (32.4%) were 70-79 years old, 78 (27.6%) were 60-69 years old, and 54 (19.7%) were 80-89 years old. Around one third, 91 (33.2%) indicated they had a housemate (other than the care recipient) and the remaining two thirds did not. Almost half, 131 (47.8%) were a single-generation household, 80 (29.2%) were a three-generation household, and 55 (20.1%) were a twogeneration household. The majority of care recipients, 176 (62.4%), had their husband as the caregiver, 105 (37.2%) had a child, and 1 (0.3%) another relative.

Of the caregivers, 99 (36.2%) were employed, 37 (37.4%) were farmers, 28 (28.3%) were company employees, and 22 (22.2%) worked in a family-operated business. The majority, 216 (78.8%), reported that their economic situation was not a hindrance to life. More than half, 162 (59.1%), reported themselves as healthy. The mean amount of nursing care provided was 11.6 (± 8.4) hours; 113 (67.3%) provided 1-9 hours of nursing care, 48 (17.5%) provided 20 hours or more, and 47 (17.1%) provided 10-19 hours. The J-ZBI mean score was 32.8 (\pm 17.4) and the SDS mean score was 43.3(\pm 9.6). Results from the SDS for the Three-stage Evaluation showed that 95 (34.7%) subjects were not depressed or only slightly depressed, 89 (32.5%) were mildly depressed, and 80 (29.2%) were moderately depressed. The RSES-J means score was $17.62 (\pm 4.4)$.

Overview of people with dementia

The mean age of the people with dementia was 78.3 (\pm 9.6) years. The largest group, 94 (33.2%), were 80-89 years, 89 (31.2%) were 70-79 years, and 48 (16.9%) were 60-69 years. By far the largest group were suffering from Alzheimer's-type dementia, 239 (84.6%), with 26 (9.2%) having cerebrovascular type, and 14 (4.8%) frontotemporal lobar degeneration. Sixty (21.2%) had a care need rating of 1, 53 (18.7%) had a rating of 5, and 45 (15.9%) had a rating of 2 (Table 2).

Day to day functioning and degree of autonomy criteria for older adults with dementia

Sixty-three (22.3%) patients with dementia were rated as "IV: Frequently demonstrates difficulties with communication, symptoms and behaviors that interfere with daily life. Needs continuous nursing care". Fifty-six (20.0%) were rated as "I: Have some dementia, but home, daily life and social life are almost independent". Forty-nine (17.4%) were scored as "III: Sometimes demonstrates difficulties with communication, symptoms and behaviors that interfere with daily life. Needs nursing care". Thirty-eight (13.5%) were considered as "II: Demonstrates a few difficulties with communication, symptoms and behaviors that interfere with daily life. Can be self-supporting if someone is there to guide them". Thirty-one (11.0%) were rated as "II b: As II. Subcategory: in the home" (Table 3).

	Variable	Results (%)	
Age	mean age	69.9 (SD 11.1)	
	20-29 years	1 (0.3)	
	30-39 years	2 (0.7)	
	40-49 years	20 (7.2)	
	50-59 years	21 (7.6)	
	60-69 years	78 (27.6)	
	70-79 years	89 (32.4)	
	80-89 years	54 (19.7)	
	over 90 years	4 (1.4)	
	no answer	5 (1.8)	
Presence or absence of housemate	yes	91 (34.3)	
	no	183 (65.7)	
Household composition	single-generation family	131 (47.8)	
	two-generation family.	55 (20.1)	
	three-generation family	80 (29.2)	
	other	5 (1.5)	
	no answer	3 (1.0)	
Relationship with the care recipient	parent-child	105 (37.2)	
	husband-wife	176 (62.4)	
	other relative	1 (0.3)	
Employment situation	employed	99 (36.2)	
	farmer	37 (37.4)	
	company employee	28 (28.3)	
	family-operated business	22 (22.2)	
	director	12 (12.1)	
	not employed	175 (63.8)	
Financial situation	not a hindrance to life	216 (78.8)	
	hindrance to life	56 (20.4)	
	other	2 (0.7)	
Subjective judgment of health state	healthy	162 (59.1)	
	not healthy	82 (29.9)	
	no answer	30 (11.0)	
Nursing care time	mean (SD)	11.6 (8.4)	
	1–9 hours	113 (67.3)	
	10–19 hours	47 (17.1)	
	over 20 hours	48 (17.5)	
	no answer	66 (24.0)	
J-ZBI	mean point score (SD)	32.8 (17.4)	
SDS	mean point score (SD)	43.3 (9.6)	
	no or slight depression	95 (34.7)	
	mild depression	89 (32.5)	
	moderate depression	80 (29.2)	
	moderate depression	,	

	Variable	Results (%)
Age	Mean	78.3 (SD 9.6)
	50-59 years	5 (1.7)
	60-69 years	48 (16.9)
	70-79 years	89 (31.2)
	80-89 years	94 (33.2)
	over 90 years	34 (12.0)
	no answer	12 (4.2)
Diagnosis of dementia	Alzheimer's type	239 (84.6)
	Frontotemporal lobar degeneration	26 (9.2)
	Cerebrovascular type	14 (4.8)
	Pick's disease type	3 (1.0)
Situation of certification of long-term care need	care support 1	9 (2.7)
	care support 2	11 (3.3)
	care need 1	60 (21.2)
	care need 2	45 (15.9)
	care need 3	37 (13.1)
	care need 4	39 (13.8)
	care need 5	53 (18.7)
	not applied	28 (9.9)

Table 2: Overview of dementia sufferers.

		T
	Variable	Results (%)
I	Have some dementia, but home, daily, and social life are almost independent.	56(20.0)
II	Demonstrated a few difficulties with communication and symptoms and behaviors that interfered with daily life. Can be self-supporting if someone is there to guide them.	38(13.5)
II a	Same state as II but also out of home.	8(2.8)
II b	Same state as II but also in the home.	31(11.0)
III	Sometimes demonstrated difficulties with communication and symptoms and behaviors that interfere with daily life. Need nursing care.	49(17.4)
III a	Same state as III but also in the day.	16(5.7)
III b	Same state as III but also in the night.	3(1.1)
IV	Frequently demonstrated difficulties with communication and symptoms and behaviors that interfere with daily life. Need continuous nursing care.	63(22.3)
M	Have notable mental symptoms and problematic action or serious physical disease and need specialized medical care.	18(6.4)

Table 3: Day-to-day functioning and degree of autonomy criteria for older adults with dementia.

Item analysis

Factor loadings were excluded for "I tend to avoid nursing by distracting or diverting myself" and "I think that nursing care is not my responsibility" because their loading was less than 0.4. This reduced the scale to 19 items and six factors. The exploratory factor analysis with Promax rotation factor loadings suggested that a further item should also be excluded "I need to encourage myself to provide nursing care" because again, the loading was less than 0.4.

Reliability

Cronbach's alpha for all five factors was 0.76. The values for individual factors were 0.79, 0.65, 0.68, 0.67, and 0.67. The factors "I do not think very much about providing nursing care" and "I do not think much about the future" were excluded because their trust factor was less than 0.65. "I have resigned myself to the fact that nursing care is unavoidable" was also excluded, resulting in a 15-item five-factor scale. The contribution rate of each factor was 23.79%, 14.5%, 10.50%, 8.46%, and 7.15%. The cumulative contribution rate is 64.3%(see Table 4 and Table 5).

	Care Problems Coping Scale Item
1	I plan for when I perform nursing care.
2	I collect information to help with nursing care.
3	When nursing care is not successful, I think about the cause.
4	I do my best nursing care.
5	Nursing care is given to their own problem.
6	I think that one can learn from the experience of caring.
7	I will not think deeply about nursing care.
8	I am not thought deeply what happens future of nursing situation.
9	I have resigned myself to thinking that nursing care is unavoidable.
10	I don't give dawn to nursing care.
11	I think hopeful that it is overcame problem.
12	I wait until I can good nursing care.
13	It is a heavy burden to provide nursing care, so I obtain the support of family and the people around me.
14	I ask for help from neighbors, family, and/or relatives.
15	Provide distractions and diversions.
16	I encouraging myself while nursing care.
17	I do not see. And I go away from the scene of the problem.
18	I became emotional or destroyed things.
19	I think that it is not my responsibility.
20	I think that it is seen as shameful.
21	Blame myself that cannot be good nursing care.

Table 4: Care Problems Coping Scale Item.

Labelling the five factors

We identified five types, which we named "Solve the problem type", "Avoidance and emotional type", "Transformation cognitive type", "Careful supervision and waiting type" and "Assistance request type". The "Solve the problem type" carefully considers the causes of any care

Page 4 of 4

problems, collects the necessary information, and then establishes goals. The "Avoidance and emotional type" thinks "I am a caregiver. Dementia is embarrassing". He does not concentrate on solving care problems, but tends to react emotionally. The "Transformation cognitive type" views care giving as a challenge, and manages it by working harder. The "Careful supervision and waiting type" expects that all will be well if he just waits calmly. The "Assistance request type" is happy to ask for help from others.

There were significant correlations between four factors of the Care Problems Coping Scale and the J-ZBI (r=-0.22 to 0.19), between three factors of the Care Problems Coping Scale and the SDS Three-stage Evaluation (r=-0.26 to -0.24), and between three factors of the Care Problems Coping Scale and the RSES-J (r=0.20 to 0.23). There was no difference in the ZBI as external criteria for the two coping strategy groups, but significant differences were seen with the SDS and RSES-J (P<0.05) (Table 7).

			Cronbac	Cronbach's alpha		total α=0.76	
1Factor	4 items	Solve the problem type α =.097.	1Factor	2Factor	3Factor	4Factor	5Factor
	2	I collect information to help with nursing care.	0.83	0.12	0.39	0.29	0.09
	1	I plan for when I perform nursing care.	0.76	0.2	0.42	0.26	0.19
	3	When nursing care is not successful, I think about the cause.	0.73	0.19	0.32	0.18	0.03
	6	I think that one can leam from the experience of caring.	0.5	-0.05	0.41	0.2	0.23
2Factor	5 items	Avoidance and emotional type α=.065.					
	10	I don't give dawn to nursing care.	0.56	0.64	-0.02	0.19	0.26
	20	I think that it is seen as shameful.	0.14	0.57	0.05	-0.04	0
	21	Blame myself that can't be good nursing care.	0.23	0.51	0.26	0.15	0.12
	18	I became emotional or destroyed things.	0.12	0.49	0.12	0.35	0.08
	17	I do not see. And I go away from the scene of the problem.	0.01	0.48	-0.08	0.21	0.2
3Factor	2 items	Transformation cognitive type α=.068.					
	5	Nursing care is given to their own problem.	0.38	-0.01	0.87	0.07	0.1
	4	I do my best nursing care.	0.43	0.22	0.61	0.17	0.02
4Factor	2 items	Transformation cognitive type α=.067.					
	12	I wait until I can good nursing care.	0.22	0.22	0.15	0.73	0.28
	11	I think hopeful that it is overcame problem.	0.24	0.13	0.07	0.68	0.17
5Factor	2 items	Assistance request type α=.067.					
	14	I ask for help from neighbors, family, and/or relatives.	0.16	0.18	0.09	0.2	0.74
	13	It is a heavy burden to provide nursing care, so I obtained the support of family and the people around me.	0.05	0.18	0.07	0.26	0.69
		After spin of loading dose square sum.	2.65	1.78	1.89	1.62	1.38
		Contributing rate%.	23.79	14.5	10.4	8.46	7.15
		Cumulo-contributing rate%.	23.79	38.3	48.71	57.18	64.33
		Factor of correlation 1Factor	-	0.17	0.5	0.29	0.14
		2Factor	0.17	-	0.08	0.25	0.19
		3Factor	0.5	0.08	-	0.15	0.11
		4Factor	0.29	0.29	0.15	-	0.32
		5Factor	0.14	0.19	0.11	0.32	-
			Factor analysis: principal factor method, promax rotati			otation	
			Factor lo	ading avove	e 0.45		

Table 5: Care Problems Coping Scale and total scores on the Japanese version of the Zarit Caregiver Burden Scale, Depressive Symptoms and Self-Esteem Emotional Scale, and Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale.

Validity: criterion-related validity

There were significant correlations (P < 0.04) between the Care Problems Coping Scale and total scores on the Japanese version of the Zarit Caregiver Burden Scale, Depressive Symptoms and Self-Esteem Emotional Scale, and Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (Table 6). The comparison between the aggressive and passive coping groups showed that they had significantly different levels of depressive symptoms and self-esteem.

Discussion

We developed the Care Problems Coping Scale for male caregivers to help them to continue caring for someone with dementia at home. This study has tested its reliability and validity.

Reliability

We examined the reliability by measuring internal consistency.

Int J Nurs Clin Pract ISSN: 2394-4978 IJNCP, an open access journal Volume 2. 2015. 130

Factor	Zarit Caregiver Burden Depressive Symptoms Scal		Rosenberg Self Esteem Scale
Solve the problem type	0.19	-0.26	
Avoidance and emotional type		-0.25	0.20
Transformation cognitive type	-0.22		0.23
Careful supervision and waiting type	-0.18	-0.24	0.23
Assistance request type	-0.20		
coefficient of correlation speaman p<0	.04		

Table 6: Significant correlations between Zarit Caregiver Burden, Depressive Symptoms Scal and Rosenberg Self Esteem Scale.

	Aggressive (n)	Passive (n)	Zarit Caregiver Burden	Depressive Symptoms Scale	Rosenberg Self Esteem Scale
Solve the problem type + Assistance request type	7	27		-1.985	
Z				*	
Solve the problem type + Careful supervision and waiting type	2	18			-2.284
Z					*
Avoidance and emotional type + Transformation cognitive type	8	35			-2.32
Z					*
Transformation cognitive type + Careful supervision and waiting type	4	2		-2	
Z				*	
Avoidance and emotional type	passive (n)	others(n)		-2.489	
Z	79	195		**	
Transformation cognitive type	aggressive (n)	others (n)			-3.002
Z	29	245			**
Careful supervision and waiting type	aggressive (n)	others(n)		-1.943	-2.327
Z	11	263		*	*
Careful supervision and waiting type	aggressive (n)	passive (n)		-1.982	-2.323
Z	12	14		*	*
	Mann-Whitney	U *p<0.05 **	*p<0.01		

Table 7: Significant differences were seen with Zarit Caregiver Burden, Depressive Symptoms Scal and Rosenberg Self Esteem Scale.

The Cronbach's alpha coefficient for the whole scale was 0.76 and for each individual factor is 0.79, 0.65, 0.68, 0.67, and 0.67. This provides sufficient reliability and internal consistency for use. The contribution rates of each factor showed that they were not equally weighted. However, this needs further examination. This scale is designed for use in clinical practice.

Label and Validity

We identified five factors or types, including "Solve the problem", "Avoidance and emotional", "Transformation cognitive", "Careful supervision and waiting" and "Assistance request" types. The "Solve the problem" type considers that if care cannot be implemented as intended, he can collect the necessary information for planning and then implementing the plan, and believes this is a reason to take positive action. The "Avoidance and emotional type" struggles not to abandon care giving through frustration, and also feels embarrassment and wishes to avoid the situation. The "Transformation cognitive type" faces the challenges of providing care, and works in good faith to do so. These three relate to the categories of Pearlin et al [30], described as "problem-solving", "cognitive", and "emotional" types. We have

added a further two. The "careful supervision and waiting type" is also thought to be optimistic, as they remain calm despite problems. The "Assistance request type" is happy to ask for help from those around.

To assess criterion-related validity, we measured our scale against several proven tools. These included a care burden scale, and a depressive symptoms scale. In-scale scores of self-esteem feelings showed a significant correlation. There was a significant difference between positive and negative coping groups. These suggest that the new scale will operate effectively to assess the coping status of male caregivers for people with dementia living at home.

Feasibility and Significance

The National Livelihood Survey of 2010 [31]showed that the proportion of men acting as the "principal caregiver" was 32.8%. The population in Japan is aging; in 2014, it was estimated that, on average, women live approximately 7 years longer than men. The number of one-generation households is increasing, while the number of three-generation households is decreasing [4]. This means that there will be an increasing need to provide support specifically for men who provide care at home.

Page 4 of 4

Limitations and Challenges

This study had several limitations. For example, there were some regional characteristics of the subjects. The study was based on a survey across Japan [32], but members of organizations such as the Family Association accounted for about 50% of the sample. Family members may have shared information and concerns with each other and may have encouraged each other [33,34]. Men may choose not to share their difficulties, and do not always want to receive support, which may have affected responses.

Another limitation is that the average age of the subjects was 69.9 years; these were all older adults who may respond differently from younger people. More than half the subjects were not working. According to employment status research from 2007 [4], many caregivers have to leave or change their jobs to provide care. Future investigations should test whether differences such as employment status affect the experiences of care giving. We wanted to ensure the stability of the Care Problems Coping Scale, and therefore selected items that overlapped with other studies. This means that our scale can be used to easily evaluate care problems of male caregivers [35-40].

Conclusion

We have developed and tested a scale to measure Nursing Care Problems with Coping for Male Caregivers for People with Dementia Living at Home. This scale has demonstrated validity and reliability, resulting in a scale of 15 items and five factors. The five factors were divided into "Solve the problem", "Avoidance and emotional", "Transformation cognitive", "Careful supervision and waiting" and "Assistance request" types.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests exists.

Author contributions

All the authors substantially contributed to the study conception and design as well as the acquisition and interpretation of the data and drafting the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to the doctors who cooperated with us at Takita Memory Mental Health Clinic and Monowasure Mental Health Clinic, and to Masanobu Yamaguchi, who explained associate family support groups to us.

Funding

This study was supported by the 2013 first semester home care subsidy of Yuubi Memorial Goods Research Funding.

References

- Department of Psychiatry, University of Tsukuba. Dementia prevalence in the urban area and correspondence to life functional disorder of dementia.
- Tanigawa D, Misu S, Sawa R, Nakakubo R, Tutumimoto H, et al. (2014) Cross-sectional relationships between depression and psychological elements of pain for elderly people requiring long-term care). Japanese Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry 25: 177-184.
- 3. Kiyohara Y (2013) Advances in aging and health research. Japan Foundation for Aging and Health 1: 25–34
- Health, Labour and Welfare Statistics Association (2014) 2013/2014 Journal of Health and Welfare Statistics.

- Maekubo M, Okamoto K, Hashimoto M (2007) The effect on family function of home caregivers nursing frail elderly. KIBI International University 12: 31-38.
- Aonuma M, Sato M (2011) Caregiving appraisal and coping strategies for family caregivers of persons with dementia. Japanese Journal of Nursing Research 45: 41-49.
- Meiland F, Kat M, van Tilburg W, Jonker C, Dröes RM (2005) The emotional impact of psychiatric symptoms in dementia on partner caregivers: do caregiver, patient, and situation characteristics make a difference? Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 19:195-201.
- Health, Labour Statistics Association (2014) 2013/2014 Journal of Health Statistics. Tokyo.
- Nagai Y, Hori Y, Hoshino J, Hamamoto R, Suzuki Y, et al. (2011) Subjective physical and mental health characteristics of male family caregivers. Nihon Koshu Eisei Zasshi 58: 606-616.
- Nakamura M, Nagai M, Matsubara M (2011) A study of factors that promote "ability for better living" for elderly male caregivers. Nippon Ronen Igakkai Zasshi (Japanese Journal of Geriatrics) 16: 104-110.
- Ashley NR, Kleinpeter CH (2002) Gender differences in coping strategies of spousal dementia caregivers. J Hum Behav Soc Environ 6: 29-46.
- Papastavrou E, Tsangari H, Kalokerinou A, Papacostas SS, Sourtzi P (2009) Gender issues in caring for demented relatives. Health Sci J 3: 41-
- Tsudome M (2010) Otokono kaigowo ikiru anatae. (To those of you who are caregivers for men). Kamogawa.
- Amano M, Ito K, Ito R (2009) Feminism in Japan 12. Tokyo: Iwanami Publishing.
- Moore L, Gillette D, Nakamura Y (1993) King, warrior, magician, lover. Japan Times.
- Waki J (1998) Families caring for the aged-toward development of empowerment. Tokyo: Kawashima Publishing.
- Smale B, Dupuis SL Caregivers of persons with dementia: Roles, experiences, supports and coping. Ontario Dementia Caregiver Needs Project.
- Matsuura T (2013) The actual situation of care by a working person. Nissay Basic Res. Center 1: 2-28.
- Morimoto T, Schreiner AS, Asano H (2003) Caregiver burden and healthrelated quality of life among Japanese stroke caregivers. Age Ageing 32: 218-223.
- Nishio M, Ogomori K, Ouma S, Uchida N, Ono M, et al. (2014) Development of the Coping Scale for home care of male caregivers of dementia. Bio Medical Fuzzy System 16: 15-21.
- Nishio M, Ono M (2015) Developing a nursing care problem coping scale for male caregivers for people with dementia living at home. Journal of Rural Medicine 10: 1.
- Lynn MR (1996) Determination and quantification of content validity. Nursing Research 35: 382-385.
- Murakami N (2013) Making method of the psychology scale. Kyoto: Kitaoji Publishing .
- Streiner DL, Norman GR (2008) Health measurement scales: a practical guide to their development and use. (4th edition), Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Mizota K, Murata S, Horie J, Murata J, Ota H (2010) Relationship between subjective economic conditions and QOL in elderly female residents of the community. Japan Society of Health Promotion 12: 9-15.
- Arai Y, Kudo K, Hosokawa T, Washio M, Miura H, et al. (1997) Reliability and validity of the Japanese version of the Zarit Caregiver Burden Interview. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 51: 281-287.
- Zung WWK, Fukuda K, Kobayashi S (1983) Manual for Self-Rating Scale. Kyoto: Sankyo Publishing.
- Mimura C, Griffiths PA (2007) Japanese version of the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale: Translation and equivalence assessment. J Psychosom Res 62: 589-595.
- Rosenberg M (1965) Society and Adolescent Self-Image. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.

Page 4 of 4

- Pearlin LI, Mullan JT, Semple SJ, Skaff MM (1990) Caregiving and the stress process: An overview of concepts and their measures. Gerontologist 30: 583-594.
- The Ministry of Welfare (2006) Kaigo index and the level of independent living of bedridden or demented elderly.
- 32. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (2010) Comprehensive Survey of Living Conditions.
- Kazue K, Kawano A, Kanaya Y (2010) What care-related murder cases tell us: Issues of supporting family caregivers. Journal of Academy of Home Care. 14: 95-103.
- Yuhara E (2011) What care-related murder cases tell us: Issues of supporting family caregivers. J Soc Welf Nihon Fukushi University 125: 41-65.
- Kim J (2001) Factors and gender difference in the coping style of caregivers for the frail elderly at home: based on a survey in Seoul City. Japan Society of Family Society 13: 73-84.
- Razarus RS, Hayashi S (1990) Measuring stress to predict health outcome.
 Tokyo: Seiwa Publishing.
- Nakano M (2009) Multivariate Statistical Analysis. Hyogo: Helicity Publishing.
- 38. Kumagai F (1997) Japanese Family and Regionality. Tokyo: Minerva Publishing.
- Scott KE (2013) Dementia caregivers' perspectives regarding the effectiveness of support group involvement. (Order No. 1536619, Western Carolina University). ProQuest Dissertations and Theses.
- Czaja SJ, Gitlin LN, Schulz R, Zhang S, Burgio LD, et al. (2009) Development of the risk appraisal measure: A brief screen to identify risk areas and guide interventions for dementia caregivers. J Am Geriatr Soc 57: 1064-1073.

Int J Nurs Clin Pract ISSN: 2394-4978